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**INTRODUCTION**

This document contains the Compilation of Comments and Observations from the originator, ExTAG Working Group WG01, on ExTAG ExTAG/666/CD Draft Revision Draft Revision of OD 010-2 Operational Document - Guidance for the development, compilation, issuing and receipt of ExTRs - Part 2: Procedures and guidance.

***Please inform the Secretariat immediately of any omissions or errors at***

[***Christine Kane***](mailto:christine.kane@iecex.com)

|  |
| --- |
| **Address:**  **IECEx Secretariat**  **Level 33 Australia Square**  **264 George Street**  **Sydney NSW 2000**  **Australia**  **Web:** [**www.iecex.com**](file:///C:\Users\christine.kane\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary%20Internet%20Files\christine.kane\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\christine.kane\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary%20Internet%20Files\Content.Outlook\AppData\Local\Users\horn02\AppData\Local\christine.kane\AppData\Local\Microsoft\christine.kane\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary%20Internet%20Files\Christine.Kane\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary%20Internet%20Files\AppData\Local\jugauthier\AppData\Local\Temp\notesC9812B\www.iecex.com) |

| **ExCB/**  **ExTL** | **Clause/ Sub-clause** | **Paragraph Figure/**  **Table** | **Type of**  **comment**  **General/**  **technical/**  **editorial** | **COMMENTS** | **Proposed change** | **Observation**  **(to be completed by the originator)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CMD  IN  In consultation with Intertek India Private Limited, Karandikar Laboratori-es Pvt. Ltd., and KL Certificati-on Services |  |  |  | After a consultation with members of the National forum and ExCBs/ExTLs from India participating in ExTAG, it is hereby stated that we have 'no comments' on both these draft ExTAG/665/CD and ExTAG/666/CD. |  | Noted. |
| CNEX-Global  NL | - | - | g | We have no comments on the revised texts | - | Noted. |
| FTZU  CZ |  |  | G | We agree with this draft revision of OD 010-1. |  | Noted. |
| ITL  IL |  |  |  | N/A |  | Noted. |
| LCIE  FR | 4.2.2  4.2.3.1  4.2.4  4.2.5  4.2.6 |  | Technical | The minimum content shall be kept in order to ensure consistency and agreement of ExMC on the content for TRF preparation by ExTAG WG1.  Blank ExTR mentioned is not approved by ExMC. | Do not delete sections detailing the expected content. | Not Accepted. It is correct that, according to Annex C of IECEx OD 099, ExMC is the Document Owner of IECEx OD 010-1, with this OD delegated by ExMC to ExTAG.  Further, according to Annex A of IECEx OD 099, ExMC is the Document Approver for Forms – ExTR Blanks that are owned by ExTAG WG1.  Therefore, based on these controlled responsibilities, a hyperlink in each of these Clauses to the respective ExTR Blanks is considered suitable (as opposed to trying to itemize all the ExTR Blank content in this OD). |
| LOM |  |  | G | LOM agrees with the update of this document and has no additional comments |  | Noted. |
| NEPSI  CN |  |  | Editorial | We support the draft revised operational document ExTAG/665/CD. | “IEC Ex standard” or “IEC standard” through the text should be changed as “IEC or ISO Ex standard” or “IEC or ISO standard”. | Accepted in Part. Editorial revisions will be made prior to posting revising “IEC Ex standard” references to “IEC or ISO Ex standard”. |
| PTB  DE |  |  | general | PTB accepts the revision |  | Noted. |
| QPS CA |  |  |  | QPS has no comments on these revised OD’s. We agree with the proposed changes. |  | Noted. |
| RISE  SE |  |  |  | RISE ExCB do not have any comments on these. |  | Noted. |
| SGS Baseefa  GB | 2.3.1 | 6 | Ed | Probably just a language issue, but reference to data sheets might be understood to refer to data sheets supplied, for example, by a component manufacturer.  It is assumed that the intention is to refer to what many laboratories would call “test record sheets” | Change “datasheets” to either “test record sheets” or “test result data sheets” | Accepted in Principle. Editorial revisions will be made prior to posting to reflect inserted comment in the ExTR Cover by   1. Deleting the following:   ~~All ExTR package documents are to include, as applicable, copies of all datasheets, test record sheets, test result data sheets or a tabulation that indicate the date(s) of performance of all testing, according to ISO/IEC 17025, and this requirement is to be reflected in the ExTR Cover.~~  2) Inserting the following:  7.8.2.1(h) of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 requires each test report to include the date(s) of performance for all testing. Compliance with this requirement can be established as follows:  - Inserting a reference to attached test datasheet package(s).  - Tabulation of all testing by the title of each test and the date(s) on which each test was performed.  - If no testing was performed, "N/A" to be entered in this field. |
| SGS Baseefa  GB | 2.3.6 | 2 | Te | Because of the delay in producing the IECEx “Decision Rule” text, many bodies will have already agreed forms of wording with their national accreditation bodies and will not wish to change the text unnecessarily. | Add a note:  NOTE: Where the body has an agreed a form of Decision Rule text with its national accreditation body, this may continue to be used, provided that it is not in conflict with the standard IECEx text. | Accepted. |
| SGS Baseefa  GB | 2.3.6 |  | Te | Where a certification/testing body requires to insert specific legal text related to its terms and conditions, this is the most suitable place | Insert additional paragraph:  Where a body requires to insert its own “legal” text (for example Terms and Conditions) it shall be inserted in the General remarks field as a final paragraph, in a reduced size font. Ariel 8 point is suitable. | Accepted. Slightly modifying the proposed text to include “provided that it is not in conflict with the standard IECEx text”. |
| Simtars  AU |  |  |  | Simtars has no comments. |  | Noted. |
| TIIS  JP |  |  | General | We support the draft OD without comments. |  | Noted. |
| UL/  DEMKO  DK |  |  |  | UL Demko supports both documents (ExTAG/665/CD and ExTAG/666/CD) without any additional comments. |  | Noted. |
| UL do BR  BR |  |  |  | ULBR supports both DS´s without any additional comments. |  | Noted. |
| UL LLC USA |  |  |  | UL LLC has no comments and supports them as drafted. |  | Noted. |